- 1			1				
1	Todd M. Friedman (SBN 216752) Adrian R. Bacon (SBN 280332) LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C.  Superior Court E D						
2							
3	21031 Ventura Blvd, Suite 340 Woodland Hills, CA 91364	County of San Francisco					
4	Phone: 323-306-4234 Fax: 866-633-0228	APR 2.1 2025	:				
5	tfriedman@toddflaw.com	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  By Victor Par Tonklo  Deputy	:				
6	abacon@toddflaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	Deputy	ı				
7		· ·					
8	GYMERYOR COYMER OF MYTH CHARLES OF CAA THOUSEN						
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
10	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO						
11	JAMIE JWEINAT and RICHARD LECHLEITNER, individually and on	Case No. CGC-23-605149					
12	behalf of all others similarly situated,	!					
13	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION					
14	VS.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF					
15	LOANDEPOT.COM, LLC; and DOES 1-10 inclusive,	CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR AHORNEY'S FEES, COSTS AI	ND				
16		INCENTIVE AWARD.	•				
17	Defendant.						
18							
19							
20	;						
21							
22							
23		1					
24							
25							
26							
27		,					
28		1					
!	PROPOSEDI ORDER GRAN	TING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL					
	,,	1					

Plaintiff has filed a Motion for an Order Granting Final Approving Class Action Settlement, and Motion for Attorneys' Fees and costs (collectively "Motion"). Having reviewed the Motion and supporting materials, the Court determines and orders as follows:

- A. Counsel have advised the Court that the parties have agreed to settle this action on the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims (the "Agreement").
- B. The Court has reviewed the Agreement, as well as the files, records, and proceedings to date in this matter. The terms of the Agreement are hereby incorporated as though fully set forth in this Order. Capitalized terms shall have the meanings attributed to them in the Agreement.
- C. Based upon examination, it appears to the Court that the Agreement is sufficiently fair, reasonable, and adequate to warrant final approval and judgment in this action, based upon that Agreement.

Based upon the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. **Final Approval of Proposed Settlement.** The Agreement, including all exhibits thereto, is approved as fair, reasonable and adequate. The Court finds that (a) the Agreement resulted from extensive arm's length negotiations, and (b) the Agreement is sufficient to warrant final approval.
  - 2. Class Certification for Settlement Purposes Only.
- (a) Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 382, the Court, for settlement purposes only, certifies a class consisting of "all individuals in the United States for whom Defendant initiated recurring electronic funds transfers from a debit card account or bank account number, without first providing a copy of a written authorization, between September 21, 2021, and October 6, 2023." The Class does not include any persons who validly requested exclusion from the Class.
  - (b) In connection with the certification, the Court makes the following findings:
- (1) The Class satisfies Code of Civil Procedure § 382 because the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
- (2) The Class satisfies Code of Civil Procedure § 382 because there are questions of law or fact common to the Class;

5.

	(3) T	he Class satisfies (	Code of Civil Proceed	lure § 382 because th	eclaims			
of the plaintiff named	in the c	aption are typical o	of the claims being r	esolved through the p	proposed			
settlement;		े हिंदीकिं			•			
	(4) T	he Class satisfies (	Code of Civil Proced	lure § 382 because the	enamed			
plaintiff is capable of	fairly an	d adequately prote	cting the interests of	the above-described	Class in			
connection with the proposed settlement and because counsel representing the Class are qualified,								
competent and capable of prosecuting this action on behalf of the Class.								
	(5) T	he Class satisfies t	he requirements of C	Code of Civil Procedu	re § 382			
because, for purposes	of settle	ment approval and	administration, com	non questions of law	and fact			
predominate over questions affecting only individual Class Members and because settlement with								
the above-described Class is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient resolution								
of the claims of the Class. The Class is sufficiently cohesive to warrant settlement by representation.								
(c)	In makii	ng the foregoing fi	ndings, the Court h	as exercised its discr	retion in			
certify a settlement class.								
(d)	Jamie J	weinat and Richar	d Leichleitner are	hereby designated a	as Class			
Representatives.		"						
3. Class C	Counsel.	The Court appoint	s Todd M. Friedman	and Adrian R. Bacon	n, of the			
Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C. as counsel for the Class ("Class Counsel"). For purposes of								
these settlement approval proceedings, the Court finds that Class Counsel are competent and capable								
of exercising their responsibilities as Class Counsel.								
4. Finding	gs Conce	erning Notice. The	Court finds that the	Notice and the mann	ner of its			
dissemination constitu	ited the l	best practicable no	tice under the circur	nstances and was rea	asonably			
calculated, under all th	e circum	stances, to apprise	Class Members of th	ne pendency of this ac	tion, the			
terms of the Agreement, and their right to object to or exclude themselves from the Class. The Court								
finds that the notice was reasonable, that it constituted due, adequate and sufficient notice to all								
persons entitled to receive notice, and that it met the requirements of due process, Rules of Court								
2.766 12.760(0		e selection						

Exclusion from Class. Each Class Member who excluded himself or herself from the

Class and followed the procedures set forth in this Paragraph shall be excluded. Any potential member of the Class who mailed a written request for exclusion, in the form specified in the Notice, to the third-party administrator at the address set forth in the Notice forty-five (45) days after Notice was sent. All persons who properly requested exclusion from the Class shall not be Class Members and shall have no rights with respect to, nor be bound by, the Agreement. The names of all such excluded individuals shall be attached as an exhibit to any Final Judgment.

- 6. Costs of Notice and Administration. The costs of notice to the Class of the pendency and settlement of the Actions and of administering the settlement in the amount of \$182,983 shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount.
- 7. Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Attorneys Fees in an amount of equivalent to one third (33.33%) of the Gross Settlement Amount are awarded as their reasonable attorneys' fees, in an amount of \$341,632.50 The Court finds the rates and hours worked by Class Counsel reasonable and awarded fees as reasonable under the common fund method, as well as under a lodestar crosscheck method. Costs of suit in the amount of \$12,260.05 are awarded to Class Counsel, also out of the Gross Settlement Amount.
- 8. Incentive Awards. For their efforts in representing the Class, Plaintiffs' request for an incentive award in the amount of \$10,000 each from the common fund (\$20,000 total) is reasonable and is hereby granted and to be paid out of the Gross Settlement Amount.
- 9. **Discretion of Counsel**. Counsel are hereby authorized to take all reasonable steps in connection with administration of the settlement not materially inconsistent with this Order that they jointly deem reasonable or necessary.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 4 2 , 2025

HON CHRISTINE VAN AKEN